

CHAIR: E. HUNTER
RECORDER: C. BLOSSER

DATE: AUGUST 26, 2009
LOCATION: ROSE VILLA

VOTING MEMBERS: **ISSUE** **ISSUE**
 CATHIE BLOSSER, VALERIE CHAPMAN, JANE CIVILETTI, SUE CONACHAN, EDITH COULTER, GEORGE DIETZ, TERRY DOLAN, LYNN FISHER, JERRY FOY, ELEANORE HUNTER, CHIPS JANGER, JIM MARTIN, GWION MARK MILLER, MARGARET PRITCHARD, ED RIDDLE, PAUL SAVAS, GEORGE SCHNEIDER, SUSAN SHAWN, WILLIAM WILD, DOUG WOODS
FRRIENDS:
 BARBARA CARTMILL, TOM FOELLER, DAVID GREGG, ANNETTE GUARRIELLO, KATHY JOHNSON, SUSAN MILTON, GLENDA PLUMB, J. MICHAEL READ, SHIRLEY SNODERLY, FRANCES TALLARICO, EVERETT WILD.
KEY: CTY = COUNTY; F/U = FOLLOW-UP; RE – REGARDING; LUC = LAND USE COMMITTEE; FILO = FEE IN-LIEU OF; OLSD = OAK LODGE SANITARY DISTRICT

ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION PLAN	DUE DATE	PERSON RESPONSIBLE
Treasurer's Report (S. Conachan)	\$555.52 + 23 = \$578.52			
Old Minutes, approval of (E. Hunter)	P. Savas: suggested change	Minutes approved unanimously with correction		C. Blosser
Old Business Communication Agreement (E. Riddle)	Reviewed list of suggested communication agreements for OLCC members during meetings J. Foy: suggest we try these rules for next few meetings P. Savas: need greater discussion before adoption Valerie: Try it William Wild: table this until we can review it	G. Dietz: Motion to try these Edrid: 2 nd After discussion, motion was withdrawn. W. Wild: Motion to table M. Pritchard: 2 nd Vote: 18 approve, 0 oppose, 0 abstain Motion passed to table adoption; members to review agreements on		

<p>Committee Structure E. Hunter)</p>	<p>Create temporary committee to look at how committees function</p>	<p>website with future discussion at OLCC meeting</p> <p>Motion: so moved Volunteers: E. Riddle, G. Foy, P. Savas, W. Wild, E. Hunter</p>		
<p>Land Use Issues T. Dolan, LUC) #Z0466-07-09 T. Dolan)</p>	<p>A 3 lot partition at 1230 SE River Forest Road. Letter had to be in with OLCC comments by 8/19/09. Letter written by LUC after a public meeting, reviewed, approved and sent by Board. Additional comments by OLCC can be made now.</p> <p>E. Riddle: suggests addition to Motion to add separate letter stating what we want to see happen in our community</p> <p>J. Martin: suggests this addition serve as a boiler plate for future comments regarding our desires for community development.</p> <p>New application from Chris Marek for 15154 SE Kellogg Ave. modifying his earlier application proposing a Fee In Lieu Of (FILO) for sidewalk construction. Original application for partition of lot was approved by OLCC, Sept. 2008. OLCC requested (and County supported) improvements, which developer has found to be cost prohibitive and requests alternative of contributing to FILO. FILO was found applicable in this case by County instead of requiring sidewalks. Neighbor input has been solicited. T. Dolan reviewed pertinent Essential Pedestrian Network (EPN) and ZDO 1007.03 roadway provisions.</p>	<p>P. Savas: Motion to endorse current letter B. Wild: 2nd Vote: 18 approved, 0 opposed, 0 abstained</p> <p>Letter will be from OLCC body.</p>		<p>C. Janger, E. Riddle to draft letter and send it out to the Listserve for comments before sending.</p>

#Z0443-09

Message is mixed from neighbors; same neighbors talked to were ones originally contacted by Cty. for partition.

Chris Marek: presented his position for FILO vs putting in sidewalks.

Annette: lives on adjoining street; represents 40 people who signed position. No road improvements---they have been waived for developers over putting in culverts. This is a bigger issue that just those few houses around this partition. Brought photos to show the road issues. Petition supports requiring sidewalks.

P. Savas: Called County; any new sidewalks put in by Marek will not necessarily be ripped out. Road improvements around Key Bank will be done. Kellogg is on EPN; waiving sidewalks in this case continues to set a precedent. Petition signed by Chair of BCC, Lynn Peterson.

T. Dolan: my understanding of 30 year history led area to have unconnected, scattered sidewalks.

Neighbor: Kellogg is not on a priority list for use of FILO funds.

J. Foy: nobody opposed to sidewalk. Key is "when." "Safer" to have a FILO. What will the short sidewalk accomplish now? With further development, Kellogg may increase in priority as further development occurs in this area.

P. Savas: Has talked with bus driver; bus stop will most likely be moved to the sidewalk. Disagrees with Jerry regarding FILO being a surer bet.

Valerie: petition represents large amount of people within our OLCC. Agrees we need to set a precedent with sidewalks.

B. Wild: Petition represents people living within that community.

E. Riddle: Feels it redundant to discuss further

Susan Milton: uses sidewalks

C. Janger: advocates supporting petitioners for sidewalk

C. Marek: will put in street lighting. Drainage and blacktop will require tearing up any new sidewalk. People don't use the existing sidewalk across the street now. Problem is that whole street needs to be paved at one time. Any new development (e.g. flag lots) probably don't have the setbacks to develop these lots. It is currently not a "legal" road, as it is less than 20 feet wide.

D. Woods: need to support sentiments of neighbors.

B. Cartmill: made similar calls to County. Proportionality is the issue. Road is in such bad condition; work going into improving this road that is why the FILO monetary request is lower than the \$47,000 to put in sidewalk.

J. Foy: Kellogg area could form Local Improvement District (LID) to

W. Wild: Motion to deny Marek's application to waive sidewalks

M. Prtichard: 2nd

Vote: 13- 3 opposed (L. Fisher, G. Foy , G. Schneider)– 2 abstain (G. Dietz, T. Dolan)

Motion passed to deny the FILO application.

improve the area.

Notice for “request for Interpretation” by the Mt Hood CPO.

--	--	--	--	--

#Z0507		Tabled	Sept. OLCC meeting	
Member Reports 0 minutes each – 5 minutes for	<i>Urban/Rural Reserves</i> (L. Fisher): <i>McLoughlin Area Plan</i> (S. Shawn): “MIG” chosen as consulting firm to	L. Fisher will give at another meeting Upcoming meeting		

<p>report, 5 minutes or questions</p>	<p>do “networking” piece of McLoughlin Corridor study.</p> <p>Joining Forces and C-4 (P. Savas): first met April, 2009 with goal of getting a seat for CPOs on C-4 (Clackamas Cty. Coordinating Committee made up of cities, a person from hamlet and villages, Chamber of Commerce, METRO, member of BCC., special districts. Paul represents OLSD. J. Bernard took request to C-4; subcommittee of C4 formed and came up with a document to justify efficacy of having CPOs have a seat on C-4. Presented in August 4, 2009; will now go to annual retreat of C-4. Some resistance perceived. Authority of C-4; advisory only to BCC, positions METRO council, J-PAC for transportation---more of a county subdivision of a regional picture. Cities primarily chair C-4</p> <p>B. Cartmill: How would C-4 info get back to CPOs (there are rural and urban ones). Would have to endorse the representative to C-4. How would you gather all the viewpoints of each CPO?</p> <p>G. Dietz: asked for difference between hamlet and village</p> <p>C. Janger: does Jim Bernard represent us now?</p> <p>P. Savas: yes; but there is a lot of diversity between CPOs; makes sense for a representative for CPOs---collective voice.</p> <p>S. Shawn: villages can tax; hamlets don’t tax. J. Bernard represents County at C-4, but he doesn’t come to CPO meetings to actually “represent” them. So, he needs to represent us, if he is going to do that.</p> <p>C. Janger: J. Bernard isn’t a representative</p> <p>B. Wild: how did villages/hamlets decide who to represent. them?</p> <p>P. Savas: goal was to expand communication across County--- C-4 body proposed they have a seat.</p> <p>J. Foy: getting rural and urban CPOs to have one representative could be difficult, given their differing viewpoints.</p> <p>Valerie: that’s representative government, though</p> <p>Visitor: please don’t use abbreviations (e.g. OLCC) when talking</p>	<p>Tuesday, 7-9 at OLSD office; no public input.</p> <p>Joining Forces meeting 8/31/09 6-9 PM, Clackamas Community Center</p>		
<p>Public Comment Period</p>	<p>G. Dietz passed around MAX Park Ave. Station transportation plan.</p> <p>M. Read: “Double-barrel” bond will be put to voters. Sewer revenues will pay off General Obligation Bonds; will save us money.</p>	<p>OLSD meeting tomorrow night for further information. 6:30 PM.</p>	<p>Bond to be discussed</p>	<p>M. Read</p>

}

		Also, will hold interviews for open Board seat.	further at Sept. OLCC mtg.	
Meeting Adjourned	9:08 PM	Next OLCC meeting Sept 23, 2009, Rose Villa		