

CHAIR:
RECORDER:

DATE: JULY 22, 2009
LOCATION: ROSE VILLA

VOTING MEMBERS:

KEY: CTY = COUNTY; F/U = FOLLOW-UP; RE = REGARDING; MTGS = MEETINGS; PD = PLANNING DEPT; MCL – MCLOUGHLIN; TT = TROLLEY TRAIL.

CATHERINE BLOSSER, VALERIE CHAPMAN, SUE CONACHAN, TERRY DOLAN, LYNN FISHER, JIM MARTIN, THELMA HAGGENMILLER, CHIPS JANGER, ELEANORE HUNTER, GWION MARK MILLER, MARGARET PRITCHARD, ED RIDDLE, PAUL SAVAS, GEORGE SCHNEIDER, EUGENE SCHOENHEIT, SUSAN SHAWN, JULIE STANLEY, BALDWIN VAN DER BIJL, LEONARD WALDEMAR, BILL WILD= 21 VOTING MEMBERS

ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION PLAN	DUE DATE	PERSON RESPONSIBLE
Treasurer's Report S. Conachan)	\$428 + \$127 (received in June) = \$555.52			
Old Minutes approval of E. Hunter)	No corrections	Motion to accept: 1 st . G. Schneider 2 nd : M. Pritchard Vote: unanimous		
Old Business E. Hunter)	Update: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There was a desire to see CPO have more voice—Joining Forces has met several times already; some attended by BCC members. Workgroups are meeting 2. Desire to get new members for OLCC: have met twice with New Urban US; project oriented. They are putting together a curricula to do something around tree canopy. Have met with Everett Wild with the Youth Involvement “spoke” of CIA Wheel of Interest to involve younger people in community. More mtgs. planned. How do we increase membership? (e.g. get your neighbors involved, send memos home with high school and lower age school kids) 			

	<p>3. Watersheds: North Clackamas Urban Water Sheds Council has formed; Susan and Eric Shawn are co-chairs</p> <p>4. Linden Lane and Oak Grove Blvd. property contingent upon people selling their house by Sept. 1st. So, we may have another “go” at trying to make something happen within the community.</p> <p>5. Website: Edrid managing site. List serve being worked on to manage communication better.</p>			
<p>Land Use T. Dolan)</p>	<p>1. <u>Fee in lieu of?(FILO) for sidewalks</u>: Talked with Cty. staff about this process.</p> <p>L. Fisher: Laurie M-M contact person for Cty. Program essentially “flopped” (has \$6828.22 in the FILO = 2 partitions). Her disappointment is that developers have been able to get sidewalk & FILO; therefore, Cty. has nothing. Don’t know how many have been waived.</p> <p>J.Foy: Recalls that OLCC sent letter saying we didn’t want sidewalks, even if in the essential pedestrian network. If we are changing this stance, we need to revisit the county.</p> <p>P. Savas: Inconsistent application of conditions of approval. Would like to see this OLCC letter regarding sidewalks. In OG area, 100 permits average per year, so if those were waived.....</p> <p>T. Dolan: we need to dig into this matter and be sure we know what we want to advocate.</p> <p>T. Haggemiller: Under Cty., it was determined all streets would have sidewalks. OG modified this, and developers should not have to put them in (e.g. Westview, River Forest Rd). Thelma thinks she has a copy of OLCC letter; maybe D. Jones.</p> <p>Edrid: good to find out why the waivers were given.</p> <p>T. Haggemiller: Cty. has broken its own rules (e.g. Concord).</p> <p>C. Janger: brought up topic of buildings being redesigned after applications are approved.</p>	<p>(Editor): no action plans but may want to revisit ideas from discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) find out more about FILO waivers b) Review letter from OLCC (does Thelma or Dick have it?) c) How can OLCC help PD/BCC enforce FILO requirement? d) Impact actual building designs in OLCC area—how to do? 		

	<p>J. Martin: Need to support PDs effort to raise the FILO project again with BCC. What can we do to help this effort?</p> <p>L. Waldemar: duplexes on single lots do not require design reviews. We can make an effort to change this.</p> <p>G. Schneider: Once got neighbors to sign a letter for needed improvements, and this was a successful tactic.</p> <p>E. Riddle: designs often used as sales tool/PR, which ensures a sale.</p> <p><u>2. Review of transit</u> -oriented development (TOD) in CCty, giving example of a plan for Fuller St. Station. What is going to happen is that there is a Master Plan to encourage dense housing/office/retail development around transit corridors that will, in turn, encourage transit. Grant just received by TriMet to study potential around Park Ave. Station (TGM grant). CPO will be involved in the public process. Nothing to begin before 2010. So, what is happening at Park Ave. is just the start of a wider plan. Kicks off design/development guidelines that we are talking about. P. Savas: What Terry just presented sounds like an endorsement of a master plan. This is up to the CIA</p> <p>B. Cartmill: grant is separate from Park Ave. design plan. And, Fuller St. plan is entirely different from Park Ave. Don't be spooked by this Fuller St. plan. What goes on around Park Ave. has not been decided. Grant will be folded into the M.A.P. project.</p> <p>P. Savas: we anticipated the TGM grant</p>	<p>T. Dolan suggests forming a committee to be the main study group to learn about TOD and how it would relate to this area, learn about what makes it successful, etc.—no action taken</p>		
<p>Creation of Committees (E. Hunter)</p>	<p>Existing: <u>Land Use Application Committee</u> (Terry, Lynn, Jim, Edrid, Jerry)</p> <p>Proposed: <u>Design Review Standards</u>: would work in conjunction with other committees (e.g. CIA) to organize and structure information for the membership.</p> <p>P. Savas: CPO doesn't have good representation of neighborhoods involved in OLCC. McL Corridor planning group is dealing with that area.</p>	<p>Editor: needs further discussion/members</p>		

	<p>J. Foy: have to be careful. Cty. won't let us rewrite a code for a certain street, but they may for OLCC area. We can set some design guidelines and have it adopted. But, we can't be haphazard on choosing certain areas. Cty. will insist on standards that are consistent within whole area.</p> <p>C. Janger: Need a represented sample of people</p> <p>L. Waldemar: best to go door to door once we have something to diagram Park Ave. Station.</p> <p>E. Riddle: 1000 car garage planned for Station; so whole area will have interest and be impacted by this structure.</p> <p>Valarie: people who live there need to be presented the information in an objective manner.</p> <p>Proposed: <u>Outreach/Membership</u></p> <p>Proposed: <u>Communications</u>: communications/website/techie stuff, press releases.</p>	<p>Editor: needs further discussion/members</p> <p>Editor: needs further discussion/members</p> <p>P. Savas: we should be going to other CPO meetings. Also, Joining Forces</p>		
<p>Dak Lodge Community Reports</p>	<p>P. Savas, <u>Sanitary District</u>: \$50,000 Master Plan to work in collaboration with new Watershed Council. Also, working on RFP for new facility. Our stimulus application didn't get funded; we are considering our options at this point; Board questions who got awarded this money. Doug Woods choose not to fill his current Board position, so there is an open position on the Sanitary Board. Wants people to submit application.</p> <p>S. Shawn, <u>McLoughlin Area Plan</u>: Cty-funded public involvement program to contact as many people as possible to discern guiding principles/values around how we want to see McL Corridor developed. Seven proposals received; company called MIG chosen. We will be active partners with MIG; hoping to come up with a number of products (e.g. focus groups, house parties, outreach to schools, etc.).</p> <p>P. Savas: CCty. will do a mailing to increase awareness of this project; between now and then, website,</p>	<p>People should submit application for position on Sanitary Board.</p>		

<p>communication links, M.A.P. will help. Cty. mailing will have all sorts of meeting dates (McL, Light Rail)</p> <p>B Cartmill: will seek input through website if people don't get notices of meetings.</p> <p>B. Wild: concerned people will get overloaded if Cty. notice includes lots of different meeting notices. Dilutes the info too much. Wants more about MIG—how chosen, qualifications, etc.</p> <p>S. Shawn: David Queener put up all proposals on website. MIG did a Stafford area project</p> <p>J. Foy: thinks committee did a good job of reviewing and evaluating each RFP.</p> <p>P. Savas: interview was a big part of ranking the RFPs, so bear that in mind when looking at just the RFPs paperwork.</p> <p>Claudia Steinberg: <u>TriMet Communication Affairs on Light Rail</u>: Lots left to do regarding this aspect of the light rail line to Park Ave. Passed out information sheet about project, how to get involved, what's next calendar, final design after 2011 construction will start, finish 2015. Valerie is a citizen advisor and Henry Schmidt represents OLCC. Mailings: we sent them out but we are strategic about who we send them to; reality is that people are going on the internet, and we do that do. Focus on Open Houses; use METRO and TriMet's, tax lot info for mailing lists. Register on-line for updates.</p> <p>J. Foy: is project funded to Park Av.? Yes, and no. There is a budget, but we have yet to ID the big items and then submit that budget to Feds (late Spring); Portland, METRO, Milwaukie, lottery bonds, TriMet (ODOT, CCty. haven't pledged any money yet).</p> <p>P. Savas. CCty. \$20-30 million asked, yet only Park Ave. Sta. is in CCty. Why so much for so little? Some people don't even know about this station</p> <p>Claudia: it's a regional effort; each jurisdiction asked to contribute what they can, based upon what they can give rather than on what they get in their area. Regarding</p>	<p>Next mtg. Aug. 10th to discuss Kellogg Crk/Lake bridge over McL and along the westside of McL along Trolley Trail.</p>		
---	--	--	--

	<p>mailings: why not broader area-wide mailing? Claudia: only selected mailings due to costs; can't do a mailing for each meeting. Word of mouth important. Notices also put in Clackamas Review. Gwion: Is Trolley Trail and TriMet the only place where they are close to each other? Claudia: Yes; they are side-by-side. Trolley Trail construction and TriMet will coordinate their construction projects. We may do part of the Trolley Trail to not waste taxpayer money by redoing parts of the Trail. Trimet website has visualizations of Park Av. garage and Kellogg Lake Bridge. T. Haggemiller: TT will be started and finished way before your timeline for reaching Park Ave. Claudia: TT and TriMet are coordinating their work</p>			
Public Announcements	<p>Board work sessions will take place 1st Wed. each month at Fire Station, 10-noon. Public meetings OLCV: fundraiser at E. Hunter's August 1, 2-4 PM; lots of elected officials. OLCC fundraiser: August 29th; Further details TBA. We can raise funds, but they aren't tax deductible. Money for OLCC power point machine Open Mic: at Oak Grove Coffeehouse, July 25 7-10 PM</p>			
Public Comments	<p>P.Savas: Sanitary 6:30 PM, Wheel Land Use/McL Design Committee T. Haggemiller: Old Time baseball game this Saturday in Oregon City. Volunteers needed. B. Wild: New City mtg. (4th) to present answers to all the questions asked in the prior 3 mtgs. Aug. 10th 7 PM, Rose Villa. Next Light Rail mtg. August 10th E. Riddle: Website has a calendar: submit your announcements there. (www.calendar@oaklodgecpo.org). If you want to submit your info directly to the calendar, contact Edrid for password. He is working on several different interest group "listserves" to communicate and disseminate info better.</p>			

	<p>P. Savas: how do we protect the listserves from being abused?</p> <p>E. Riddle: There will be protections. More info to come.</p> <p>E. Riddle: Offers Valerie idea of presenting Joining Forces would be a place to promote Sustainability Comm. to get others to join. Introduced idea of encouraging Cty. to reorganize to bring Sustainability to a higher echelon of the organizational chart.</p> <p>P. Savas: difference between CIA and CPO. CIA on anything that comes up. The Wheel is comprised on interest groups. It is thinking along broader grounds than just our CPO (Jennings Lodge, No.Clack).</p> <p>E. Hunter: how can the CPO and CIA different committees connect and collaborate?</p> <p>B. Wild: important not to advocate for or against any committees; there are a lot of committees going on and there are few people to go around.</p> <p>B. Cartmill: reorganization of Planning Dept. already in process. She is now the Interim Deputy Director of Development Services. Long range planners with a different division. She will send out the new organizational chart when completed.</p>	<p>Valerie: interested in a sustainability committee and would convene such a committee. Overlay would be to look at Park Ave. Sta. and look at what would be sustainable. CIA group isn't thinking about that particular topic. Gives example of the "20-minute" communities. What services can you get within 20 minutes of where you live?</p> <p>No action taken</p> <p>P. Savas: have the Committee Chairs report to the CPO.</p>		
<p>Future OLCC meeting</p>			<p>August 26</p>	