

**CHAIR: E. HUNTER**  
**RECORDER: C. BLOSSER**

**DATE: 4-22-09**  
**LOCATION: ROSE VILLA**

**VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT:** ROLAND ATKINSON, CATHERINE BLOSSER, JANE CIVILETTI, SUE CONACHAN, TERRY DOLAN, LYNN FISHER, JERRY FOY, THELMA HAGGENMILLER, ELEANORE HUNTER, CHIPS JANGER, GWION MARK MILLER, PAUL SAVAS, GEORGE SCHNEIDER, ERIC SHAWN, SUSAN SHAWN, JULIE STANLEY, BALDWIN VANDEBIJL, LEONARD WALDEMAR, BILL WILD. *G. SCHNEIDER LEFT PRIOR TO ANY ITEMS BEING VOTED UPON.*

**TOTAL VOTING MEMBERS: 17 (CHAIR EXCLUDED)**

**OTHERS:** BARBARA CARTMELL, MARK MILLER, V. CHAPMAN, DAVID GREGG ; TOM CIVILETTI

KEY: CTY= COUNTY; F/U = FOLLOW-UP; RE = REGARDING

ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION PLAN	DUE DATE	PERSON RESPONSIBLE
<b>Treasurer's Report</b> (S. Conachan)	\$149.60			
<b>Old Minutes Approval:</b> 3/25/09		C. Blosser will put page numbers on minutes		1 <sup>st</sup> : L. Fisher 2 <sup>nd</sup> : E. Shawn Approved by consent all voting members present
<b>Land Use Issues</b> (Vassar Byrd—CEO Rose Villa [RV])	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Non-profit; est.. 50 yrs; outside looks like 1950s—buildings at end of useful life.</li> <li>MASTER PLAN: start with blank slate and design community that works better, is energy efficient, stewardship of environment. One and 2 level units. Upgrade health center (add palliative and hospice center), 2K loop. Planning with Meyer Group.</li> <li>• Presently sitting tight because of current economical situation with lending and availability to borrow money. Is a 7 year project. Goal is to increase capacity to 325+.</li> <li>• Traffic engineering issue: Cty. wanted RV to close off River Rd. access and use Laurie and other streets. South access road for service delivery planned now for Emergency, garbage, etc. Northern for residence access. Temporary road granted by Cty. for service access road and keeping River Rd. access.</li> <li>• T. Haggemiller: RV has always been open to community event involvement</li> </ul>	<b>(T. Dolan) Think about:</b> Could see opportunity for collaboration between RV and OLCC		
<b>Review of By-Laws</b> (E. Hunter)	<p>Are we meeting these? How well are we meeting these?</p> <p><u>A. To bring together persons who share a common concern for the Oak Lodge Community's interest and environment.</u></p> <p>J. Foy: bare root efforts to date</p> <p>T. Dolan: not sure what commonality is at this point; voice will increase as we get this clearer</p> <p>*R. Atkinson: <u>what concerns/issues would galvanize community to turn out</u> (e.g. Oak Grove boat ramp in past)?</p>	<b>(ed) Think about:</b> how to attract new members		

	<p>B. Wild: events not bringing us together yet  L. Fisher: concerned with language—common concern is exclusive rather than inclusive.  E. Hunter—common concern is that we live in this area together  L. Fisher: language not clear  C. Janger: common concern is the community  P. Savas: in context of a CPO we are meeting “A.”  V.Chapman: language confusing  E. Hunter: let’s not wordsmith  E. Haggemiller: <u>what do we do to keep people coming back—challenge of most CPOs; land use issues do bring people together because it concerns what is in our own backyards.</u>  C. Janger: operative word is “bring together;” is an active word; not sure how well we do this. Relevancy is key to people. Tell about OLCC at the Open Mikes  T. Dolan: Relevant, professional, effective, relationship building</p>			
	<p><u>B. To inform Citizens in the Oak Lodge Community of all proposed developments and/or changes in land use which will affect the area, and to provide an opportunity for Citizen input.</u>  J. Foy: we are doing in response to Cty.; to boat landing issue people didn’t think OLCC represents them or had any power. Need young people involved.  B. Wild: my son comes because he wants to be here, hear what’s happening, wants his voice heard, talks with his high school about it  P. Savas: if we have some authority, people will relate more  B. Cartmill: not our responsibility if other people don’t come  J. Foy: to build “community” modern times make it more difficult  W. Vanderbijl: we aren’t doing “B”---<u>what mechanisms do we have to communicate with all the people within the OLCC?</u>  T. Haggemiller: Trolley Trail has brought people out; need to enhance what will bring people out</p>	<p><b>(S. Shawn) Think about:</b> need handout (for Open Mike nights)</p>		
	<p><u>C. To make recommendations to the County regarding land use, including zoning, conditional use permits, residential and/or industrial development.</u>  *B. Wild: doing OK if we’re doing what Cty. hands us; <u>proactive wise, we aren’t doing well.</u>  J. Foy: McLoughlin (McL) Corridor is example of being proactive; we aren’t going to have clout with Cty .until we sit down and give them our plans (E. Hunter: “vision and identity”)  C. Janger: e.g.. tree ordinance supported by OLCC was important; there will be zoning changes; Cty. will listen if presented to them; we have precedence; do “C” in concert with other CPOs.  E. Shawn: making recommendations is one thing, but we may not know the nuances of the topic, the language—bridging the gap is a</p>	<p><b>(ed) Think about:</b>  Proactive steps OLCC can take</p>		

	<p>challenge.  T. Dolan; <u>need f/u on what we've done within the OLCC; tracking mechanism</u>; review by Board of content of all letters and look for a response to ALL correspondence we send; can learn how effective we are.  L. Waldemar: e.g. sidewalks—we were told OLCC didn't represent views of residents within the area---hoping we are changing this attitude of Cty. Staff; professionalism.</p>			
	<p><u>D. To organize the Citizens in the area to establish their own priorities for land use.</u>  J. Civilleti: we do  P.Savas: A, B C are purposes; D-H are goals.  *V. Chapman: works with neighborhood assns. in Portland; same question re. our power is similar between both groups; the <u>5 member attendance</u> excludes people from the OLCC process; is a hurdle; this is a huge area. How many grade schools in area---we need to liaison with them.  E. Hunter: some people don't like meetings, either---other ways to get community input.  S. Shawn: requisite meeting attendance: would like to see that shift to 3 or less; makes people feel unwelcome.  B. Wild: "yes" to above  B. Cartmill: creating representative government now  P. Savas: agrees with D thru H; technical trap because allows power blocks to vote on single issues when the people really aren't involved citizens in a recurring manner.  L. Fisher: if we get incorporated, another way to further representation</p>	<p><b>(ed) Think about:</b>  Relook at meeting attendance eligibility to vote</p>		
	<p><u>E. To provide the Oak Lodge Community with a vehicle for establishing an organized two-way communication channel with public agencies and governmental organizations.</u>  L. Waldemar: starting to do this  C. Janger: we aren't scratching the surface; Metro interested in hearing from us.  B. Wild: between CIA and CPO we are doing this.  J. Foy: people afraid to go and talk with the Commissioners or other staff  D. Gregg: <u>Cty. aren't giving the feedback to OLCC re. land use</u> (e.g. his land use issue)</p>	<p><b>(ed)Think about:</b>  Mechanism to get this feedback</p>		
	<p><u>F. To aid the Oak Lodge Community in preserving and enhancing the existing and/or proposed neighborhoods.</u>  P. Savas: issue by issue we do this  V. Chapman: 5-6 <u>different neighborhoods</u> probably within our OLCC</p>	<p><b>(ed)Think about:</b>  ID'g these various neighborhoods (see OL History Group below)</p>		

	<p>T. Dolan: with different issues and different desires  T. Haggemiller: I'd say at least a dozen within Oak Lodge area  E. Hunter: <u>not clear what the existing neighborhoods actually are</u>  C. Janger: need to identify the neighborhoods to make up area—important as we proceed with McLoughlin (McL) Corridor issues; aren't built around community centers but around other ways (schools, CC &amp; Rs, Westview, different neighborhoods even within Jennings Lodge)  E. Shawn: takes time to education us; we do provide aide; on different sides of the counter often  T. Haggemiller: we don't communicate to our government, though.  P. Savas: what is a neighborhood?: street they live on; trailer parks; bigger we get the more disconnected we get.</p>			
	<p><u>G. To advise County, Regional, State and Federal agencies about the Oak Lodge Community's concerns and problems and suggest solutions for same.</u>  S. Shawn: starting to do this  J. Foy: don't think we do this; any conversations with Fed. Government? Need to be less timid to talk to our representatives.  P. Savas: "representative gov't"...."representative" important word and not sure we have any authority for true representation.  C.Janger: Thinks we have power to effect policy</p>			
	<p><u>H. To provide opportunities for Service Districts to update and receive feedback from the Oak Lodge Community on services offered.</u>  E Hunter: we do this well  E Shawn: do it well for the service districts we invite; <u>do we include all those within the boundaries within the OLCC?</u>  S. Shawn: Who are we leaving out? (Clackamas River Water District)  T. Haggemiller: e.g. schools, library, parks---doesn't happen enough  P. Savas: 2-way communication; they need to want to attend; police, fire, Oak Lodge Water always interested.  S Shawn: CRWD have never even been invited; not recognized as part of OLCC in past.  J Civiletti: no one from New Urban HS has attended  T. Haggemiller: we lost "fire" when they broadened reach, and had to ask them to keep attending; if we want, we have to make the effort to connect with them  C Janger: Schools: opportunity here  B. Wild: Soil and Conservation  T. Dolan: Trimet---understand their mission; may respond to an invitation. We need to be clear on the institutions and Service Districts and appropriate relationship is with each of them</p>	<p><b>(ed) Think about:</b>  Including more District reps.</p>		

<p><b>Proposed Meeting Structural Changes—held over from March 25 mtg.</b> (E. Hunter)</p>	<p><b><u>Stipend for CPOs</u></b> E. Hunter: Proposal: \$150 from Cty. to apply to an OLCC website? Vote: (we have 17 voting members tonight): 16 – 0 - 0 P. Savas: review and monitor prior to it going up; action items</p>	<p>1<sup>st</sup> S. Shawn 2<sup>nd</sup>: C. Janger <b>Approved</b> by all voting members listed in roster above 17 – 0 – 0 (G. Schneider left prior to vote)</p>		
	<p><b><u>Keeping track of votes if 25 voting members not in attendance</u></b> E. Hunter: ask for No votes and Abs. votes first---easier to count and then not have to count each member’s vote if we have less than 25 voting people. Can do this by “consent.” T. Haggemiller: have to keep track of people who leave if we assume they are here to vote. Results</p>	<p>Will leave as is with “yeas” first.</p>		
	<p><b><u>Service District (SD) Reports not in each agenda; Land Use Issues</u></b> E. Hunter: shift was to have deeper understanding of issues and provide greater availability to have 2-way communication within the OLCC. Reports from people involved in committees would have time, then. E. Shawn: make District Reports optional---<u>if of substance</u> may need monthly; be flexible L. Fisher: water/sewer have monthly meetings—go to those meetings if interested T. Haggemiller: don’t want to discourage representatives from coming, though; introduce themselves. Have Districts put info on website T. Haggemiller: need to have time for land use issues---important part of our Mission---</p>	<p><b>(ed) Think about:</b> put land use at the first part of the mtg and have District Reports 1-2 per mtg. and/or emergent relevance 1<sup>st</sup> E. Shawn 2<sup>nd</sup>: L.Fisher Yes: 15 - 0 – 2 (15 voting members approved by consent; J. Stanley, J. Foy abstained)</p>		
<p><b>Land Use Committee Members</b></p>	<p>C. Stephens has stepped down By-laws: accept nominations from floor; Jim Martin has offered to run. Any others for Director at Large? Lynn, Leonard are Directors J. Foy: role that C. Stephens did was huge---writing letters, understanding ZDOs’ P. Savas: a burden; qualifications (communicate, email, group at large needs to discuss who those members of OLCC are; it is our main purpose). Small sub-committee needed? L. Waldemar: sub-committee of Lynn and Leonard already in place J. Stanley: include with their reports - enhanced locating maps, digital pictures of property, surrounding area, to enable council members additional information regarding decisions that affect one's future.</p>	<p>May mtg.—will discuss land use role for Director; make it the main topic  Ask Rose Villa if they have a projector we can use</p>		<p>E. Hunter: will send out info to all members regarding open Director seat  E. Hunter</p>
<p><b>General Announcements</b></p>	<p>S. Shawn: New watershed council established for Kellogg/Mt. Scott watershed, including those of River Forest Creek, Rinearson, Minthorn, Boardman. Group developing by-laws, which will go</p>			

	before BCC; then State recognition. Wetland Conservancy will be the non-profit liaison at this point. Advantages: speak with one voice. Purpose is to preserve, enhance, protect watershed, educate, lower temperatures to encourage fish transit; opens door for monies.			
	B Vanderbijl: Oak Lodge History Detectives: 2 mtgs., goals, interests, computer base planned with history of the area; history past, present, future	<b>Possible:</b> have them research the separate “neighborhoods” within OL area		
	Thurs. May 7 <sup>th</sup> : Light Rail mtg. at RV reg. Park Avenue Station and parking---stakeholders mtg. Mailing will only go to those living to Courtney Ave. V. Chapman: stakeholders really are those just around the station; why enlarge? City of Milw. having a monthly meeting. T. Dolan: because it is a terminus—serves a greater area and may be a hub at some point	P Savas: <b>Proposal:</b> ask County to set up mailing beyond SE Courtney to inform citizens 1 <sup>st</sup> Paul Savas 2 <sup>nd</sup> B Wild Approved: 17 – 0 – 0 by consent of all voting members in attendance		P. Savas and E. Hunter will write letter to Cty. regarding the need to inform people south of Courtney. Let Metro know that CPO has made this request  V. Chapman will let OLCC know when City of Milw.mtgs. will occur
<b>Meeting Adjourned</b>	9:22PM Refreshments served		5/27/09 next mtg.	