

CHAIR: ELEANORE HUNTER

DATE: 10/28/09

DRAFT

RECORDER: CATHERINE BLOSSER

LOCATION: ROSE VILLA

VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: CATHERINE BLOSSER, VALERIE CHAPMAN, SUE CONACHAN, EDITY COULTER, GEORGE DIETZ, GERALD FOY, DAVID GREGG, HELMA HAGGENMILLER, ELEANORE HUNTER, JIM MARTIN, GWION MARK MILLER, ESTEHER PROUTY, ED RIDDLE, PAUL SAVAS, GEORGE SCHNEIDER, CHARLIE STEPHENS, BALDWIN VANDER BIJL, WILLIAM WILD, EVERETT WILD

GUESTS PRESENT: DAVID RUELAS, TOM FOELLER, DAVID QUEENER

KEY TO ABBREVIATIONS: CTY = COUNTY; F/U = FOLLOW-UP; RE = REGARDING; LUC = LAND USE COMMITTEE; PD = PLANNING DEPT; OLCC = OAK LODGE COMMUNITY COUNCIL; MCLOUGHLIN AREA PROJECT = MAP; LU = LAND USE.

ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION PLAN	DUE DATE	PERSON RESPONSIBLE
Treasurer's Report (Sue Conachan)	Checking: \$602.52 + \$31 (Sept) = \$633.52 Savings: \$5.00 TOTAL: \$638.52			
Old Minutes, approval of (Eleanore Hunter)		Motion to accept Vote: Unanimous Motion passed		
Old Business (Eleanore Hunter)	1. Communication Agreement (Eleanore Hunter): passed out Agreement for members to review; we will be voting on it in Dec.	December, vote to approve or not	12/2/09	Eleanore Hunter
	2. Committee-Committee (Wm Wild) (Committee = Eleanore Hunter, Paul Savas, Wm Wild, Jerry Foy, Ed Riddle): about appointing "special" committees. We addressed the following issues but the document won't be changed: a. Urgent needs: a special meeting of OLCC could be formed at that time b. Members: website will have members listed who are on committees	Motion to accept document regarding formation of Committees 1 st . George Schneider 2 nd Ed Riddle Vote: 16 ---0----2(Valerie Chapman, Gwion Mark Miller). Motion passed		

<p>c. Any Chair should be decided by the Committee itself, not by the OLCC</p> <p>d. Any committee that is formed should have at least 3 members on it.</p> <p>Jim Martin: if a committee is suggested to deal with a certain specific issue, can the OLCC body make the decision to form a committee?</p> <p>Jerry Foy: Yes, or decide not to form one</p> <p>Eleanore Hunter: document deals with how to form a committee (temporary or ongoing)</p> <p>Jerry Foy: When OLCC accepts the conclusion, the committee can disband</p> <p>Thelma Haggenmiller: was there any discussion about the difference between a task force and a committee—e.g. task force on the Cattle Co. building</p> <p>Wm Wild: no, document only deals with forming a committee, not a task force. But, a task force isn't precluded</p> <p>Paul Savas: discussed how the Cattle Co. issue could result in a committee being formed and meet the document's criteria</p> <p>Everett Wild: Pre-amble should be struck; he also made a sentence structure change suggestion</p> <p>Jerry Foy: Cattle Co. (old Stuart Anderson restaurant at Vineyard and McLoughlin---real estate transaction pending condition of being granted a liquor license and making it a strip joint): will be a land use issue</p> <p>Thelma Haggenmiller: discussed similar instances in past that went out of business despite the licenses and use</p> <p>Paul Savas: discussed other tactics used in past with such businesses</p> <p>Jerry Foy: some tactics Paul mentioned have been deemed illegal; no statute in Oregon State law disallowing strip joints (200 feet from a school disallowed)</p>			
<p>2. OLCC Representative Agreement (Jim Martin): Example, a Transit Oriented Development Committee</p>	<p>Motion to accept language Agreement: 1st Ed Riddle</p>		

	<p>will be formed in future. This is a good example of how we might appoint a representative of the OLCC to sit on this committee. Jim handled out document showing changes to original language.</p> <p>Valerie Chapman: important to appoint a representative that is appropriate (e.g. live close to an affected project or knowledgeable about the concern being considered/addressed; etc.)</p> <p>Paul Savas: concurred</p> <p>Jerry Foy: I'm concerned about that. There may be more contributory views that need to be voiced (e.g. engineering issues).</p> <p>Thelma Haggenmiller: Representative has to take other issues into consideration when representing the OLCC. Other groups need to participate and represent their interests</p> <p>Paul Savas: Stakeholders need to be represented; hopes any Representative from OLCC will network with the local stakeholders.</p> <p>Ed Riddle: because we vote on our representative, the appropriateness will be taken up at the time we elect the representative. My vote will go to who is the best spokesperson and representative</p> <p>Esther Prouty: Gave historical perspective of past Area Representatives who would bring that area's concerns to the OLCC.</p> <p>Valerie Chapman: reiterated she wants a representative with a connection to the issue</p> <p>Thelma Haggenmiller: others can be involved, not just the representative</p>	<p>2nd William Wild Vote: 17 - 0 - 1 (Gwion Mark Miller) Motion passed</p> <p>Policy language will read:</p> <p><i>Resolution on Council Representatives:</i></p> <p><i>Representatives from the Community Council to other bodies shall be voting members of the Community Council (unless otherwise specified) and shall be selected by vote of the Community Council. Representatives shall consult with, and fairly represent the views of the Council to those bodies. Representatives shall report to the CPO regularly, as determined by the Council or Council Board.</i></p> <p><i>The Chairperson of the Council (or the Chairperson's designee) may represent the Community Council temporarily until the Council selects a representative.</i></p>		
<p>Burn-to-Learn update (Scott Weninger)</p>	<p>Unable to attend</p>	<p>Will be rescheduled</p>		<p>E. Hunter</p>
<p>Land Use Committee (Jerry Foy)</p>	<p>Meeting with Mike McAllister, interim Planning Manager of PD (Jerry Foy and Terry Dolan met with him for 2 hours). List of what planners are responsible for what (design review, etc.) not made</p>	<p>Send future comments made by OLCC that may come up to the oversight agency (e.g. the surface water issue to Oak Lodge Sanitary District office)</p>		<p>LUC</p>

available---planners will have to go out and physically review the sites now for a sub-division. Also working to get PD to send staff decision electronically even before we meet and turn over our comments. If we don't think the staff is making a thorough evaluation of (e.g. surface water issue), we can then pay more attention to it.

Paul Savas: sometimes there is a pre-decision within first 30 days—hard for them to reserve their initial decision.

Thelma Haggemiller: used to have to go and physically look at a file; with electronic record can I access the file via the internet?

Jerry Foy: Only the application and staff recommendations.

Thelma Haggemiller: It would be a nice goal to have the whole file accessible over the internet (like neighbor's comments).

Jerry Foy: within the file, the key ingredients are the approvals; the limit is staffing.

Ed Riddle: the amount of information is growing from what it used to be; a lot to weed through often.

Approvals involve pages and pages to find the "meat" (you can see how the Cty. is thinking); he has seen a petition from neighborhoods.

Jerry Foy: **there is not a 15 day minimum** for our review. Realty is we have 25 days, typically.

Doesn't start at date application is approved, but date on which application is submitted). We can be comfortable to submit our review within 20-25 days.

Eleanore Hunter: will it be made part of the recommendation, then, not just part of the file?

Jerry Foy: there is a limit, but we can be assured that if we get it in within 25 days, it will be taken into consideration and reviewed.

Eleanore Hunter: and we want to enhance our relationship with the PD and let them know we will

	<p>be getting back to them.</p> <p>Jerry Foy: yes, the more contact we have with them, the better off we will be. Oak Grove has more credibility with PD; Terry explained the improvements we are trying to make. Mike was very receptive.</p> <p>Ed Riddle: expressed appreciation to LUC for increasing respect by PD</p> <p>Jerry Foy:and, our contributions to the discussion regarding trees; surface water is the next thing that the Cty. has to pay attention to. He passed out memo on the official timing for land use issues, including appeals, etc.</p>			
<p>Update of past LU Issues (Jerry Foy)</p>	<p>LUC made up with Lynn Fisher, Jerry Foy, Terry Dolan, Ed Riddle, Jim Martin, Leonard Waldmar). Jerry reported on some prior land use issues LUC has dealt with (see website). He discussed:</p> <p>a. Mallard Crt. Project</p> <p>Ed Riddle: was the surface water issue addressed? Should we send a copy of our concerns to the Sanitary District?---if over 1 acre, it would go to WES</p> <p>Jerry Foy: good idea</p> <p>Thelma Haggemiller: and those agencies don't get a copy of our concerns back.</p> <p>b. 5-lot subdivision on Whipple St; was approved</p> <p>c. Conditional use permit for Eastern Orthodox Church—to put another modular classroom on site for charter school: approved (for the 4th time)</p>	<p>LUC will send a copy of OLCC concerns to involved or regulatory agencies (e.g. the Sanitary District---if over 1 acre, it would go to WES) so that they can take our concerns into account should a given project be approved.</p>		
<p>McLoughlin Area Project MAP) (David Queener)</p>	<p>Two phase planning effort: Visioning in process now. Next phase will be to identify specific projects community wants and then figure out how to make them happen. Misperception MAP is only SE McLoughlin; in reality it covers unincorporated area from Gladstone to Milwaukie, from the river to Webster Rd.; so this is broader in scope. He passed out a Community Event Comment Card to be completed and mailed to him or</p>	<p>Nov 21st Vision Summit at Concord Elem School (3811 SE Concord) 9-1 PM open house with mappings, other info per consultants, good opportunity for community to find out what is going on.</p>		

available on the website (www.mcloughlinareaplan.org).

He discussed the Ambassador program as part of the visioning: a group of citizens meeting with citizens to get their feedback. Please let neighborhoods know about Nov 21st community meeting and encourage them to come. Community input is critical; the community will help decide what happens with the MAP.

Jerry Foy: more than this one meeting (has had business people asking)?

David Q: February will be another open house; or, we can do a one on one; maybe get together a focus group; people can get hold of me directly: 503-742-4272

Valerie Chapman: what is the object of MAP?

David Q: to make things happen in this area with community input; not a plan made by others that will be shelved, as in the past.

Paul Savas: 45,000 residents in covered area
Who says we need a plan; who is driving it?

David Q: MAP Committee (members from OLCC, Jennings Lodge, business, others); effort started with County because change is coming into town (Light Rail, primarily) and Commissioners thought there are needs out here, why not put the plan together with community effort and not limit it to just the Light Rail project.

Jerry Foy: Discussed history of prior McLoughlin area improvement projects that ended up being shelved---no real goals or solutions.

Elton Storment: crossing McLoughlin is dangerous

David Q: yes, we're aware of that

Valerie C: still not clear who is "driving the bus"--- business, for local economy; a sustainability effort?

Ed Riddle: Urban Green got involved to drive process in direction of sustainability, to drive future of area in another direction with concern for the environment at forefront. We're one voice; idea is to get the people moving.

Eleanore Hunter: The Comment Card is like a

	<p>vote....sort through them to see what is there.</p> <p>Valerie C: is there a group of people putting their heads together from OLCC?</p> <p>Eleanore Hunter: no, we're just part of the community; each individual puts in their comments</p> <p>Valerie C: feels there should be a group of people within OLCC that could be more informed and come together.</p> <p>Jerry Foy: that's also what the open house in Nov. and Feb. are about; an individual viewpoint rather than just a Clackamas County viewpoint.</p> <p>Thelma Haggenmiller: Talked about not identifying personally with living in McLoughlin corridor; "I say I live" in a community (i.e. Oak Grove). MAP is actually a misnomer since the plan is about a larger area.</p> <p>Paul Savas: BCC and Economical Development started the process; CCty also needs to come with their share for Light Rail, so some sort of funding source needs to be identified. McLoughlin Blvd. got stuck in the minds conceiving the framework initially</p> <p>Eleanore Hunter: the OLCC not a true representative of the whole area; this is a chance to get a larger outlook about what people want. We will try and piggyback on the information gleaned by MAP and apply it to our Oak Grove area, since the input will represent more people than attend OLCC.</p> <p>Ed Riddle: Even if 200-300 people attend, it is still just a beginning for a representative vision.</p> <p>David Q: Monthly meeting with MAP (public invited to attend at Sanitary Dist. 7 PM—meeting dates on the website)</p>			
<p>Member Reports</p>	<p>Nature in the Neighborhoods (NIN) METRO grant status and update (Ed Riddle): Requests letter from OLCC in support of NIN grant that has been submitted for the Park Ave transit center. Will enable us to get in and have an eco-friendly development.</p> <p>Wm Wild: how much money at stake?</p> <p>Ed R: about \$300,000 but in flux</p>	<p>Motion to approve writing a letter in support of the NIN grant application--due next Monday</p> <p>1st Valerie Chapman</p> <p>2nd William Wild</p> <p>Vote: 17- 0 -- 1 (Charlie Stephens)</p> <p>Motion passed</p>		<p>Ed Riddle, Charlie Stephens, Chips Janger will write letter</p>

<p>Everett Wild: How about the top level being a park? Ed Riddle: that was considered; we may be getting a huge skylight instead Paul Savas: Chips said \$3.4 million dollars Charlie: that is for the whole project Paul Savas: \$350K won't go very far Ed Riddle: NIN grant can only be for the restorative efforts (eco-habitats) of the project; it is more money than originally planned for a "normal" TriMet station Paul Savas: some treatments we wanted won't qualify for that grant, then. Ed Riddle: yes, but we're needing to figure out how to address it Charlie Stephens: letter is for the NIN grant; our letter of intent was responded to by METRO; we don't intend to drop the other stuff we wanted. METRO had picked other expensive ways to get Federal money for those things we want. We don't know it will all work out, but METRO choose the most expensive way to do what we wanted done = \$3.4 million. NIN grant and other money we're working on, along with TriMet to do all the other things we want done. We are pursuing those from another pot of money. METRO bond source of money—done deal. George Storum: announced open meeting with Veterans of Foreign Wars Charlie Stephens: time for community in future to design specifics and get community to the south to get things done for their areas. Jerry Foy: submit drawing and species list with letter? Ed Riddle: no Baldwin van der Bijl: any chance grant will be to our disadvantage by lowering money TriMet will commit? Ed Riddle: there is that chance; we're dialoguing about it with players Charlie Stephens: all partners putting in money have veto power over the final design. Ultimately, other players</p>			
--	--	--	--

)

	can veto desires of another---local players have high expectations Jerry Foy: refer to Trolley Trail letters Thelmas Haggemiller: Sanitary Dist. has not committed to putting in money for station plan			
Meeting adjourned	9:10 PM	Next meeting December 2, 2009, 7-9		