

OAK LODGE COMMUNITY COUNCIL MEETING

CHAIR: ELEANORE HUNTER
RECORDER: CATHERINE BLOSSER

DATE: 12/1/2010
LOCATION: ROSE VILLA

DRAFT

VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: ROLAND ATKINSON, CATHERINE BLOSSER, JANE CIVILETTI, TOM CIVILETTI, SUE CONACHAN, GEO DIETZ, TERRY DOLAN, TOM FOELLER, JERRY FOY, LYNN FISHER, THELMA HAGGENMILLER, ELEANORE HUNTER, CHIPS JANGER, PAT KENNEDY, JIM MARTIN, BERNARD MASTERSON, FRED NELLIGAN, ED RIDDLE, FRED SAWYER, JOANN WEAVER, CHAUNDA WILD, EVERETT WILD, WM. WILD, ,

GUESTS: GAIL CURTIS (PLANNER ODOT), CARLOTTA COLETTE (METRO), SHERRY OESER (METRO), MAGGIE DICKERSON , BARB CARTMILL

KEY: LUARC = Land Use Application Review Committee; OLCC = Oak Lodge Community Council; PD = Planning Department; F/U = follow up; Re = regarding; MAP =

McLoughlin Area Project; LU = land use; .McL = McLoughlin Blvd; CCty = Clackamas County; OG = Oak Grove; ped = pedestrian; EIS environmental impact statement;

RFP = request for proposals; NCP & R = North Clackamas Parks and Recreation; TAG=technical advisory group; SAG=stakeholders advisory group

ITEM	DISCUSSION	ACTION PLAN	DUE DATE	PERSON RESPONSIBLE
		Meeting called to order at 7:05 AM		Eleanore Hunter
Treasurer's Report	Previous balance: \$660.58 Donations October: \$13 Sub-Total \$ 673.58 Savings \$5 TOTAL: \$678.58			
Old Minutes	No comments, accepted as presented			
Nominating Committee Formation		Roland Atkinson, Ed Riddle, Jerry Foy, Eleanore volunteered to nominate new officers for the next two years		
Guest lecture: METRO's Title 1 and Title 6 (Carlotta Collette; Sherry Oeser; Gail Curtis; Maggie Dickerson)	Carlotta Colette: Making the Most Liveable Place update: Close to 1 M population expected—gap of needed infrastructure if this happens (roads, etc.) and METRO has about half of the money that will be needed for this infrastructure. How do we fill the gap? (be more efficient with our money; smarter ways to do things; leadership council to be established [no politicians] but business leaders to identify other ways to begin infrastructure need; how to prioritize needs). She passed out information called " <i>Building a sustainable, prosperous and</i>			

	<p><i>equitable region: recommendations from Metro’s Chief Operating Officer,” 2010.</i></p> <p>Q: How does the 2040 concept plan play in to all this?</p> <p>A. Protect neighborhoods from density and emphasize density downtown, close to public transit. 95% new homes built in region in past have been in neighborhood areas, though. (and all within the 1979 UGB). So how do we get the private market to help with the infrastructure to enhance our downtowns/along transit lines/region centers/hubs/ (e.g., along McL Corridor).</p> <p>Q: Where has this vision been realized—give example</p> <p>A. It is for you to decide what you want: Interstate line has clinics, housing, other development occurring now (property values go up around Light Rail stations); Hillsboro; Tigard.</p> <p>Q. Does Metro have a consistent definition for “sustainable”</p> <p>A. Yes, technically we use State language, but we use it more broadly</p> <p>Gail Curtis: Definition: not harming for future generations; thinking about it in terms of community (social), environment, economy.</p> <p>Q. Getting back to Interstate Ave: urban renewal area up there so money came from that. Did downtown Hillsboro have a renewal area? Does Metro have the money to invest?</p> <p>A. Private people also came in (Pacific Univ., a clinic, housing all helped bring in money). We have transit-oriented dev. money (not a huge amount); Community Dev. money (helps with planning in region), transportation dollars.</p> <p>Q: ODOT has money; METRO has discretionary money, too?</p> <p>A. Yes, funds some of Metro planning, transportation options, car pooling, some Light</p>			
--	--	--	--	--

	<p>Rail planning money.....\$24 M is discretionary (Chips Janger is on a committee to help decide about that); Trolley Trail got money from that. Some money can be brought in to help leverage other monies ---e.g., for the McLoughlin Corridor.</p> <p>New Tool Kit out—on eco-efficient employment (ideas of things being done around the world): also on Metro website.</p>			
	<p>Sherry Oeser: Urban Growth Management Functional Plan: Old Title 1: requires local jurisdictions to help determine housing/employment by zone capacity determinations. New Title 1 changes focus (not deal with employment) and no-net loss policy in terms of housing (what a jurisdiction has now [County] if they want to increase zoning, that is fine but if they want to down-zone they have to increase zoning someplace else. Up-zoning has to happen first and within two years. Title 4: if have land and want to change to employment land that is OK; But, if zoned housing can change to an employment zone. Flood plains, deep slopes.</p> <p>Q. Common for CCTy. to down-zone? A. Not common; Tualatin just did but Metro filed appeal because it puts more burden on other regions.on hold now as Tualatin coming back with some changes in some business building areas.</p> <p>Q. So if we have the McL Corridor and we've talked about nodes/hubs... assuming we took that approach to concentrate but leave some green spaces within same corridor to make area better for everybody, is that possible (because it would downzone, actually)</p> <p>Maggie Dickerson: When planning a series of nodes with more intense use (e.g., along 82nd/Sunnyside), it is possible to plan with green spaces. But, McL is zoned commercial</p>			

	<p>and property owners have expectations for income; so down-zoning is hard on general commercial (Metro has hardly ever done that)-- -doesn't strike me as likely. Can have more intense and less intense, but probably not all the way down to "green." There can be park-like areas, green spaces on the edge of commercial spaces. Think about making connections between your residential neighborhoods and McL.</p> <p>Q: Are parks green zoning or possible to put park in some commercial property? That would separate nodes with green spaces; visual variety.</p> <p>A. Can put a park anyplace but have to purchase the land and park district has to be able to purchase it.</p> <p>Q. One way is to assemble properties and get grant money (from State, Metro) that will help purchase a piece from a property owner and designate it as a green space.</p> <p>Gail Curtis: think about it as an architectural design and landscaping treatment as well....can create feeling of green spaces....treed boulevard.</p> <p>Q: Regarding density issue: aspiration plans were submitted by some communities, so right now we don't have the opportunity to present a local aspiration number for density. We get the left-overs when others set their limits and what they don't "want" we get stuck with:</p> <p>Sherry Oeser: That isn't how it works, actually. Local aspirations were "what do you want to be when you grow up? How do you get there was the follow-up question Metro will be asking. Local aspirations was a chance for some communities to do more.</p> <p>Q. What did you get from CCty. for unincorporated area for aspirations?</p> <p>A. Sherry Oeser: don't recall; for a long time</p>			
--	--	--	--	--

	<p>we didn't get anything. They haven't modified much.</p> <p>A. Maggie Dickerson: take zoning of land, figure out how much housing is allowed based on that. We didn't change anything.</p> <p>WmWild: there are ways to build elements if you can work with the designers, especially if you can aggregate properties.</p> <p>Pat Kennedy: prior to housing bubble, though, there were lots of housing units going in without any "green" spaces.</p> <p>Jerry Foy: Communities cannot just say "we won't take anymore allocation of density to manage expected population growth.</p> <p>Sherry Oeser: referring to Title 1 (F): allows for transfer of allocation.</p> <p>Q: Regarding McL Corridor: has had slow growth; expectations of a "boom" are lower here.</p> <p>Maggie Dickerson: communities have "age" (Oak Lodge grew years/years ago...is more of a declining area...but as new residents come in, it has opportunity to change to something else (e.g., Interstate, Kenton)</p> <p>Thelma Haggenmiller: Regarding our local aspirations: has a copy.</p> <p>Maggie Dickerson: ...but it wasn't submitted to Metro</p> <p>Ed Riddle: With Light Rail coming, there are changes occurring, and it will change interest in our area.</p> <p>Carlotta Colette: and it comes with a big chunk of money</p> <p>Sherry Oeser: TITLE 6: Current: you have to develop a center plan: didn't work</p> <p>New Title 6: rather than regulatory, it is incentive approach: regional investment/transportation...have to map an area, assess area, put together a plan about how you'd build what you want to build. Not just</p>			
--	---	--	--	--

	<p>“centers”...can be transportation centers, main streets and corridors (new). McL has big opportunity (20-50 year) for redevelopment with this plan; won't happen overnight. This is a way for Metro to help local jurisdictions accomplish what they want to accomplish.</p> <p>Q. But, we aren't a “Jurisdiction”</p> <p>A. You are represented by Clackamas County as your jurisdiction.</p> <p>Jerry Foy: define “corridor”....what constitutes make-up (speed, transportation, width of lanes, etc?.)</p> <p>Carlotta Colette: We may give Hwy 43 to local jurisdiction and it not be a “highway”---in conversation with ODOT about this (other example, MLK Blvd). McL could be at some point.</p> <p>Chips Janger: would allow us to design the corridor better, slow traffic down, create actual “living area” rather than just a thoroughfare highway.</p> <p>Carlotta Colette: we will be doing more with local areas in the future....helps us also address the climate change....to change use of some streets. ODOT can still be in charge of helping achieve that.</p> <p>Jerry Foy: ODOT could determine that CCty. could do what they want with the corridor with ODOT input. But, the Cty. wants ODOT to bring it up to their standards.</p> <p>Gail Curtis (ODOT): 82nd is in the regional transportation plan already; ODOT on board with the 2040 plan. It requires a multi-faceted approach so it is multi-modal (bikes/ped/ rail, aviation). We are a partner with Metro, TriMet; there is a prioritization going on.....to help make mixed use a reality. We don't think in terms of local communities to achieve these; we will try and help them achieve those (not just give away the highway).</p>			
--	--	--	--	--

	<p>Sherry Oeser: McL designated a regional transportation corridor. Regional investment: intent is for high transportation corridor; draw boundary around transit center, look at demographics....what SAG is doing now.</p> <p>Maggie Dickerson: we have a McL Corridor plan that has been adopted. Then, there is MAP....does that qualify?</p> <p>Sherry Oeser: we'll be taking a look at all those plans.</p> <p>Hearings: 5 PM Hillsboro ; Dec. 6, 19th at Metro to take testimony about the changes to Title 1 and Title 6.</p> <p>Eleanore Hunter: we can give feedback at these hearings. We'd be eligible through the TOD, MAP they would satisfy the eligibility requirements,</p> <p>Q. Park Ave. be a "transit" zoning, changed from R-3?</p> <p>A. Not a requirement, only a recommendation for up to ¼ mi. around a transit center. We look at what will make those places successful.</p> <p>Maggie Dickerson: expects zoning changes along McL but not necessarily in the neighborhoods.</p> <p>Q: Bus-rapid transit makes sense for McL</p> <p>A. Carlotta Colette: yes, that is what was the conclusion from Milwaukie to Oregon City (used to be equal in cost to Light Rail, but new things have changed this opinion/costs).</p> <p>Chips Janger: We have three projects ongoing that may meet these needs/requirements, so it seems that it would make sense to comment on Title 6, at least.</p> <p>Carlotta Colette: point is to target our expenditures.</p> <p>Pat Kennedy: Park Av., MAP II, Local Control, also McL Corridor Plan done 5 years ago, Trolley Trail...so any letter we write needs to include all the things we are</p>	<p>General consensus for Eleanore Hunter to write or testify in favor of changes to Title 6.</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

	<p>doing...and how Title 6 would help make all these projects “work.”</p> <p>Wm Wild: recommends OLCC testify in support changes of Title 6, in best interest of our area (all that Pat Kennedy mentioned and others); we are looking for opportunities to improve our area. Title 6 changes help bring additional resources to bear that could be considered for our area. Asks that Eleanore Hunter testify . We are “site ready” in fact.</p> <p>Bernard Masterson: Where do we go from here with Metro to meet their requirements for consideration of monies? But, some of these plans may not have interlaced early enough with Metro (e.g., MAP, Local Control)?</p> <p>Sherry Oeser: other folks in Metro probably better to ask (Carlotta Colette is on a team). Would look at what could be required for plans under Title 6 and make changes so that our plans fit with that.</p> <p>Eleanore Hunter: There is also a TAG within MAP to help make all these different projects “fit.”</p> <p>Gail Curtis: we are doing some of the conditions listed under Title 6.</p> <p>Jim Martin: multiple-jurisdictions with different interests (Natural in the Neighborhoods, Park District, Boardman Creek; watershed) could come together to help with “greening” along corridor.</p> <p>Pat Kennedy: History Detectives also working on a plan related to the historical territorial roads.</p>			
<p>LUART (Jim Martin)</p>	<p>Application to change a building to be used for commercial to north of Fred Meyer.....still pending.</p>			
<p>Member Reports</p>	<p>Park Ave. (Eleanore) public meeting tomorrow 6:30-8:30 PM at Elks Lodge for info. SAG is active. What was distribution of postcard? (A. 1/4 miles).</p>			

	Green Day: working with Leah Robbins (Eleanore Hunter): April 16 th ---lots of ideas (for clean-up, drug-take-back)			
	Public Art Advisory Committee (Eleanore Hunter): artists selected for final decision. Dec. 15 th at Trimet, there will be artists' orientation and Fred Nelligan will also be there, plus SAG. Artists on-board			
	MAP II: 3 Request for Proposals submitted. Map II charged with considering projects and priorities envisioned by MAP I			
Code Enforcement	Jan 31 st will be on code-enforcement at CPO leadership and Cty. meeting. Eleanore will represent our interests. Meeting open to public. At 6:30-8:30 PM, food provided (RSVP Barbara Smolek)			
	At next CIA mtg., update on Local Control, 6:30 PM Rose Villa			
OLCC Annual Charitable Contributions	Historically: \$50 split between Annie Ross, Women's Shelter, and Operation Santa. Jim Martin: Oak Grove visibility or kiosk project could use the money in line with our goals/purposes. Eleanore Hunter: also History Detectives, others. What do you want to do with our funds? Would like to see money go to some signs to announce meetings. Roland Atkinson: suggested giving money to the temporary housing for women graduated from drug housing to let them live with their kids that is on SE Laurie Ave.	Motion: Jane Civiletti: give \$50 each to Annie Ross, Half-way home on Laurie, Operation Santa, and Shelter. 2 nd Roland: Vote: unanimous.		
Public Comments	Pat Kennedy: Oak Grove artists—Sat/Sun on 1130 (?) River Forest Dr.			
	Fred Nelligan: grant proposal went to ODOT to get sidewalks in, south of Park Ave: status it will be presented to ODOT Commission in January 2011 to see if grant will be funded. Gail Curtis: a good, solid proposal; thanks OLCC--- impetus for ODOT to follow-up on			

	<p>the inadequate sidewalk issue. Next week TriMet Board to meet about resolution to approve Bridge contract construction. Winning bid 12% under budget. Meetings going on with Trimet Capital Projects...all about "look" of McL alignment and Trolley Trail where it comes off overpass. I went on an all day excursion on MAX.</p> <p>Carlotta Colette: important for TriMet to get some positive feedback.</p>			
	<p>Boardman Creek Culvert: project in concert with NCCWC, OLSD, Metro capital grants to improve culvert</p>			
	<p>Fred Sawyer: working with Gail Curtis: may have figured out how to get some safety islands at key intersections along McL.</p> <p>Eleanore Hunter: Weaving all these safety issues in to MAP II would be good, too.</p> <p>Gail Curtis: need to look at overall McL Corridor plan, too...doesn't mean it is set in stone.</p>			
		<p>Next OLCC meeting on January 26, 2011</p>		
		<p>Meeting adjourned at 9:07 PM</p>		<p>Eleanore Hunter</p>